UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

United	States of America,) C	ase No. 20-CA- 0438
	Plaintiff, v.	,	TIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME NDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
Nichol	as Wany Defendant(s).)	
For the reasons stated by the parties on the record on 19/19/23, the court excludes time under the Speedy Trial Act from 2/19/23 to 1/2/24 and finds that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). The court makes this finding and bases this continuance on the following factor(s):			
	Failure to grant a continuance See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(F	e would be likely 3)(i).	to result in a miscarriage of justice.
	defendants, the nature or law, that it is unreasonable	of the prosecution to expect adequ	check applicable reasons] the number of on, or the existence of novel questions of fact ate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
	Failure to grant a continuanc taking into account the exerc	e would deny the ise of due diliger	defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, ice. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
	Failure to grant a continuance counsel's other scheduled can See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(l	se commitments,	nably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
X	Failure to grant a continuance necessary for effective preparations See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(1)	ration, taking int	nably deny the defendant the reasonable time o account the exercise of due diligence.
	With the consent of the defendant, and taking into account the public interest in the prompt disposition of criminal cases, the court sets the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first paragraph and — based on the parties' showing of good cause — finds good cause for extending the time limits for a preliminary hearing under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for extending the 30-day time period for an indictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the		
exclusions set forth above). See Fed. R. Crim. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b). IT IS SO ORDERED.			
DATE	D:_12/19/23		Hon. Alex G. Tee United States Magistrate Judge
			United States Magistrate Judge
STIPULATED: Attorney for Defendant Assistant United States Attorney			